

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/812,859	KANAYA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wendy C. Haas	1661	

All Participants:

(1) Wendy C. Haas.

(2) Cindy Bonner.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2005

Time: 10:29 a.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Drawing objection

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Bonner called 5/24 to inquire about the drawing objection of record and pointed out that substitute drawings were filed prior to the Office Action. The Examiner reviewed the substitute drawings, noted that they are in compliance with the present rules, apologized for the mistake and informed Ms. Bonner that substitute drawings will not be needed..